# Lloyd's Principles Based Oversight Framework Materiality Measures for setting Expected Maturity

November 2024

#### Materiality Measures for setting Expected Maturity

- The guidance supporting Lloyd's Principles for Doing Business is expressed across four levels of maturity. These levels are Foundational, Intermediate, Established and Advanced.
- The Expected Maturity level for each syndicate or managing agent is determined by materiality metrics, which are specific to each Principle (or Dimension).
- The following slides provide high-level detail of the materiality metrics and their thresholds.
- Materiality metrics drive expected maturity in most cases. However, Lloyd's may also, in exceptional circumstances, choose to override the data-led expected maturity, where the risk for a specific syndicate is not adequately captured by this.

## Materiality measures and thresholds (1 of 5)

Principle	Materiality measure	Foundational	Intermediate	Established	Advanced
Principle 1: Underwriting Profitability	Metric to determine overall Principle-level materiality: • Gross Written Premium (current year SBF)	< £100m	>= £100m	>= £500m	> £1bn
	<ul> <li>Sub-principle 6, Pricing: Criteria to determine differentiated materiality:*</li> <li>The starting point for expected maturity is GWP as per the above thresholds. Judgement is applied by Lloyd's Pricing team, considering a range of factors to determine the final sub-principle materiality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less sophisticated</li> <li>Low frequency classes</li> <li>Limited exposure data</li> <li>Low policy volumes</li> <li>Heterogeneous risks</li> <li>Portfolio rating</li> </ul>		to determine Expected ss all four levels	<ul> <li>More sophisticated</li> <li>High frequency classes</li> <li>High volume of exposure data</li> <li>High policy volumes</li> <li>Homogeneous risks</li> <li>Individual risk rating</li> </ul>
	Sub-principle 8, Sustainability: Criteria to determine differentiated materiality:* • Strategic intent	<ul> <li>No business written relating to transition and sustainability.</li> <li>No business written that may be at risk in the future due to transition difficulties</li> <li>TCX class not within the SBF.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some business written by the syndicate relating to transition and sustainability.</li> <li>Minor risk to the syndicate from business written that may be at risk in the future due to transition difficulties</li> <li>Unlikely to have dedicated staff.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Transition and sustainability are a material / important part of the business the syndicate writes.</li> <li>Medium to significant risk to the syndicate from business written that may be at risk in the future due to transition difficulties</li> <li>Likely to have dedicated staff.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Primary strategic intent relates to transition and sustainability.</li> </ul>

\* Differentiated Expected Maturity at sub-principle level is described within the commentary on the Syndicate on a Page (SOAP) for Underwriting Profitability. Where this is not described, the Expected Maturity for those sub-principles remains as per the overall Expected Maturity for Underwriting Profitability.

## Materiality measures and thresholds (2 of 5)

Principle	Materiality measure	Foundational	Intermediate	Established	Advanced
Principle 1a: Legacy Reinsurance Underwriting Profitability (Applies to RITC syndicates only)	Gross Booked Reserves (Technical Provisions)	<= £1bn	N/A	> £1bn	> £2bn
Principle 2a: Catastrophe Exposure - Natural Catastrophe	<i>Either / Or:</i> <ul> <li>Level of the Gross Catastrophe risk (Gross WW All Perils TVaR 1:200)</li> </ul>	Bottom quartile	3rd quartile	2nd quartile	Top quartile
	<ul> <li>Importance of Catastrophe risk to Syndicate SCR (LCR Ultimate All LCM Cat claims as a proportion of diversified ultimate SCR, multiplied by Net WW All perils TVaR 1:200)</li> </ul>	Bottom quartile	3rd quartile	2nd quartile	Top quartile
Principle 2b: Catastrophe Exposure – Non-Natural	<ul> <li>Either / Or.</li> <li>Exposure to non-nat cat exposed classes of business in absolute terms (GWP)</li> </ul>	< £50m	>= £50m	>= £250m	> £1bn
Catastrophe	<ul> <li>Proportion of non-nat cat exposed business written relative to total business written (GWP) / Materiality to syndicate</li> </ul>	-	-	-	> 99.5% AND GWP > £100m
	• Exposure to potential major non-nat cat losses (RDS analysis)	-	-	-	> £500m
Principle 3: Outwards Reinsurance	YOA Gross RI Premium (£m)	< £90m	>= £90m	>= £225m	>= £600m
Reinsulance	YOA Gross RI Premium as % of GWP		>=40%		
	LCM5 1:200 AEP RI Recovery (£m)	< £200m	>= £200m	>= £600m	>= £1,500m
	Balance Sheet RI Recoverables (£m)	< £400m	>= £400m	>= £850m	>= £1,400m
	Balance Sheet RI Recoverables as % of ECA		>=150%		

## Materiality measures and thresholds (3 of 5)

Principle	Materiality measure	Foundational	Intermediate	Established	Advanced
Principle 3: Outwards Reinsurance	YOA Gross RI Premium (£m)	< £90m	>= £90m	>= £225m	>= £600m
	YOA Gross RI Premium as % of GWP		>=40%		
	LCM5 1:200 AEP RI Recovery (£m)	< £200m	>= £200m	>= £600m	>= £1,500m
	Balance Sheet RI Recoverables (£m)	< £400m	>= £400m	>= £850m	>= £1,400m
	Balance Sheet RI Recoverables as % of ECA		>=150%		
Principle 4: Claims Management	First three metrics aggregated to form an average to determine overall Principle-level materiality. 'Volume of open claims – lead' is double weighted:	<4,000	>= 4,000	>= 9,000	> 24,000
	Volume of open claims – lead (Absolute number)				
	Proportion of delegated lead claims (%)	< 31%	>= 31%	>= 45%	> 60%
	<ul> <li>Proportion of lead vs follow claims (%)</li> </ul>	< 20%	>= 20%	>= 30%	> 40%
	Sub-principle 5, (relating to third-party management): Criteria to determine differentiated materiality: Expected Maturity follows Principle-level unless meeting threshold for Advanced:	N/A	N/A	N/A	>100
Principle 5: Customer Outcomes	Active Lead Binders (Absolute number)				
	<ul><li>Metric to determine overall materiality:</li><li>Eligible complainants (Absolute number)</li></ul>	0	<= 250,000	N/A	>250,000
	Sub-principle 5, (relating to third-party management): Criteria to determine differentiated materiality: Expected Maturity follows Principle-level unless meeting threshold for Advanced:	N/A	N/A	N/A	>100
	Active Lead Binders (Absolute number)				

#### Materiality measures and thresholds (4 of 5)

Principle	Materiality measure	Foundational	Intermediate	Established	Advanced
Principle 6: Reserving	Combination of:	< £200m	>= £200m	>= £1bn	> £2bn
	Syndicate Net Best Estimate Reserves	< 220011			
	<ul> <li>Casualty classes as % of Syndicate Net Best Estimate Reserve Total (subject to minimum £100m net casualty reserve threshold)</li> </ul>	< 40%	>= 40%	>= 70%	> 90%
Principle 7: Capital	Either / Or:	0100.0	>= £100m	>= £250m	> £500m
	Ultimate SCR (latest approved current year, excluding RICB)	< £100m			
	<ul> <li>Syndicate Tail Risk - 99.8 % to 99.5% Claims Ratio Thresholds (subject to minimum £250m uSCR materiality threshold)</li> </ul>	-	-	>=6%	>10%
Principle 8: Investments	<ul> <li>Asset allocation:</li> <li>Allocation to cash and government bonds; and/or</li> <li>Allocation to alternative assets</li> </ul>	• > 90%; and • 0%	N/A	• < 90%; or • >0%	<ul><li>&lt; 90%; and</li><li>&gt;10%</li></ul>
Principle 9: Liquidity	Currently N/A – Expected Maturity for all syndicates set to Foundational				
Principle 10: Governance, Risk Management and Reporting	Metrics to determine overall materiality for all managing agents except those with only RITC syndicates:	< £250m	>= £250m	>= £750m	> £1.5bn
	Gross Written Premium (current year SBF)				
	Metrics to determine overall materiality for managing agents with only RITC syndicates:	< £200m	>= £200m	>= £1bn	> £2bn
	Total Size of Net Reserves				

## Materiality measures and thresholds (5 of 5)

Principle	Materiality measure	Foundational	Intermediate	Established	Advanced
Principle 11: Regulatory and Financial Crime Metrics considered both for business	<ul> <li>Metrics to determine overall materiality for all managing agents except those with only RITC syndicates:</li> <li>Either / Or:</li> <li>Amount of premium from high-risk territories</li> <li>Amount of premium from sanctioned territories</li> </ul>	<40% <0.5%	>=40% >=0.5%	>=60% >=1%	>70% >=2%
written and forecasted business in next year of account	<ul> <li>Method of placement - number of coverholders domiciled in high-risk territories</li> <li>Method of placement - number of coverholders domiciled in sanctioned territories</li> </ul>	<40% <0.5%	>=40% >=0.5%	>=60% >=1%	>70% >=2%
	Amount of premium in high-risk classes of business	<10%	>=10%	>=20%	>30%
	<ul> <li>Metrics to determine overall materiality for managing agents with only RITC syndicates:</li> <li>Either / Or</li> <li>Overall syndicate claims reserves arising from higher risk codes (class of business)</li> </ul>	<=£50m	N/A	>£50m	>£100m
	<ul> <li>Overall syndicate claims reserves arising from high-risk territories (including sanctioned)</li> </ul>	<=£50m	N/A	>£50m	>£100m
Principle 12: Operational Resilience	Either / Or: <ul> <li>Number of eligible complainants</li> </ul>	<100,000	>=100,000	>=250,000	>750,000
	Total Size of Net Reserves	<£750m	>= £750m	>= £1.5bn	>£2.5bn
Principle 13: Culture	Total Workforce	<100	>=100	>=500	N/A